

## Re: Subject Access Request 11/05/2025

**From:** Sophia Brooks <[REDACTED]@protonmail.com>  
**To:** gengar@legalgengar.com <gengar@legalgengar.com>  
**Cc:** stephanie.hayden@ia-legal.co.uk <stephanie.hayden@ia-legal.co.uk>  
**Subject:** Re: Subject Access Request 11/05/2025  
**Date:** Sunday, 11 May 2025 7:36 PM  
**Size:** 34 KB

---

Dear Mr Heath,

Thank you for requesting my identify documents. I will take that as confirmation that you accept you are a data controller within the meaning of the Data Protection Act 2018 and UK GDPR.

Prior to providing you with my identify documents, I do need you to confirm the arrangements you have in place for protecting my personal data and any identity documents I provide you. Further, I do require a copy of your data protection policy.

Please revert within the next 7 days.

Yours sincerely,  
Sophia A. Brooks

On Sun, May 11, 2025 at 19:00, Gengar <gengar@legalgengar.com> wrote:

Dear Ms Brooks,

Thank you for your email concerning your data subject access request.

I do not recognise your email address and haven't been able to verify your identity. In order for me to continue processing your request, I need to be able to confirm your identity in line with the relevant Regulations.

Please provide a scanned copy of one document from each section, i.e. proof of identity and proof of address

**Proof of identity (valid and in-date)**

- Passport
- Driving licence photocard

Please provide a scanned copy of one of the items listed above. A photocopy is not acceptable proof for the purposes of identity verification.

**Proof of address dated within the last three (3) months**

- Bank statement
- Utility bill
- Benefits letter (DWP)
- Other official letter from the UK Government (e.g. HMRC, tax certificate, etc.)

Please provide a scanned copy of **one** of the items listed above. A photocopy is not acceptable proof for the purposes of identity verification.

This information will be used solely to verify your identity and will immediately be securely destroyed once that process is complete.

Once I have been able to verify your identity, I will be able to continue processing this request. Please be aware that the statutory time limit is stopped until I have been provided with proof of your identity and am satisfied that it is genuine, etc.

Yours sincerely,  
Gengar

On Sun, 11 May 2025, at 3:32 PM, Sophia Brooks wrote:

Dear Mr Matthew James Heath,

I write in relation to a series of 'Discord messages' you sent to a 'Discord Account' on or about the 13th of December 2024.

These messages were downloaded and are accessible by me despite your attempts to delete messages at that time.

In those 'Discord Messages' you expressed that you had confidential information from Police affiliated sources.

I have perused your public Twitter/X account '@LegalGengar' formerly known as '@GengarQC' where you publicly state that you are a 'Lawyer' and 'Law Firm'. You have also put out a series of tweets over the past few years where you claim to both be in receipt of confidential information and assisting others in legal matters.

This means that you are likely operating as a Sole Trader if not as a director of your many companies. This also means that you are a data controller as defined by the Data Protection Act 2018 and the UK's implementation of Regulation 2016/679 or otherwise more simply known as GDPR.

In the circumstances, please accept this email as my formal Subject Access Request. I require you to confirm whether or not you are processing personal data about me. Further, I require copies of any and all data (whether electronic or "hard copy") you are processing about me. If you dispute that you are a data controller then I require you to explain why this is the position, given your public assertions to be a 'Lawyer' running a 'Law Firm'. Further, please confirm if you are registered with the Information Commissioner and, if appropriate, explain the basis of any

exemption.

You should ensure that you provide your response to me by the 9th of June 2025. If you fail to comply with my request then I do have the option of applying to a court for an appropriate order.

There is no fee payable as the request is not 'manifestly unfounded or excessive'. It is your burden to prove that a request is unfounded or excessive.

There are also concerns about your processing of other individuals' data such as your refusal to issue data to another requester without paying a nominal fee. It may paint a pattern of attempting to defraud others by charging needless fees in a period of time where you are allegedly struggling with your finances.

If you need me to narrow my request or have any reasonable requests/questions please do not hesitate to reply.

Yours Sincerely,  
Sophia A. Brooks